

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -12696 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.07.2016

Parul Sapra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.24, dated 27.02.2015, registered under Sections 353, 186 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Division No.4, Jalandhar.

It is contended that the petitioner had been admitted to bail and at that point of time relations between him and his wife were cordial and his father-in-law had arranged surety for seeking his release. However, since relations with the wife thereafter became strained, family friend of his wife namely Vishal Madan who had given surety of the petitioner at the time of grant of bail, moved an

application for withdrawal of the surety. It is further submitted that on 25.1.2016 that was the date fixed before the trial Court petitioner was informed by his counsel as regards such development and he could not appear on 25.1.2016 as he did not have any surety at that point of time. He further states that in pursuance of the order dated 12.04.2016, the petitioner has appeared and furnished bail/surety bonds before the trial Court. The certified copy of the order dated 18.04.2016, passed by the trial Court is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

The learned State counsel has not controverted the above assertion.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.04.2016, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

21.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE