

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

- 1)

CRM-M No.12673 of 2016 (O&M)

Mani @ Mani Mahesh

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent
- 2)

CRM-M No.12706 of 2016 (O&M)

Prem Singh

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent
- 3)

CRM-M No.12833 of 2016 (O&M)

Danial

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

Date of Decision: 22.04.2016

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Mikhail Kad, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
 for the respondent/State assisted by H.C. Devender Singh.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

 This common order shall dispose of three aforementioned petitions seeking anticipatory bail on behalf of three petitioners/accused, namely, Mani @ Mani Mahesh, Prem Singh and Danial in case FIR No.88 dated 06.10.2015, under Sections 307, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur.

 The complainant-Jaswant Singh and two of his friends-Baljit Singh @ Joti and Virender Kumar @ Rinku were waylaid by group of 10 named accused,

fully armed along with 10-12 unknown persons, who after chasing them, inflicted 07 injuries upon the complainant-Jaswant Singh, 09 injuries upon Baljit Singh @ Joti and 03 upon Virender Kumar @ Rinku. Some of the injuries inflicted upon the complainant-Jaswant Singh and Baljit Singh have been opined to be grievous in nature, while 02 of the injuries inflicted upon Rinku have been opined to be dangerous to life.

It is contended that the role attributed to the present petitioners Mani @ Mani Mahesh and Prem Singh is of inflicting *Khanda* blows upon Rinku and Baljit Singh (both friends of the complainant), which have been opined to be simple in nature whereas role attributed to the petitioner-Danial is of inflicting a *Khanda* blow on the person of injured-Baljit Singh, which has been opined to be grievous but not dangerous to life and, therefore, prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by HC Devender Singh, submits that after investigations, eight persons have been identified to be the purporters of the offence and only one Taranjeet Singh stands arrested and the rest are absconding including the present petitioners. He further submits that all the accused armed with deadly weapons with a common intention had waylaid the complainant party and inflicted injuries and at this stage, no benefit can be derived by the present petitioners of having inflicted only *Khanda* blows.

Without commenting on the merits of case and keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out.

Accordingly, the aforementioned petitions stand dismissed.

April 22, 2016

Gagan

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**