

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-12671 of 2016
Date of Decision: 26.05.2016**

Santosh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. P.K.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 387 dated 02.10.2014 registered at Police Station Panipat Sadar, District Panipat under Sections 498A/452/ 328/ 304-B/302 read with Section 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the mother-in-law who is in custody since 31.10.2014 and main witnesses namely the brother, father and husband of the deceased have been examined and all of them have not supported the prosecution version. He refers to the statements which have been placed on record.

Learned State counsel submits that all the private witnesses have been examined.

The main witnesses of the prosecution have not supported the prosecution case . The petitioner is in custody since October 2014.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing

local surety and adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

May 26, 2016
Gurpreet