

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -12572 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.07.2016

Karamjeet Singh @ Keema

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Jaswinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.05, dated 11.01.2016, registered under Sections 384, 342 and 506 IPC, at Police Station City-2 Mansa, District Mansa.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is a case of false implication and the complainant has got a supplementary statement recorded on 1.3.2016, wherein he has named the present petitioner to have participated in the occurrence. It is argued that there was no occasion for the complainant not to have named the present petitioner upfront in the initial complaint, if, he

had any role pertaining to the occurrence that allegedly took place on 07.01.2016. He further states that in pursuance of the order dated 12.04.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.04.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

21.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE