

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12513-2016
Date of decision: 16.08.2016**

Rohit & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.1, by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gannaur, Sonipat, has been filed in Court. Same is taken on record.

Quashing of FIR No. 221 dated 24.06.2014, under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1), is sought on the basis of compromise dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Kavita-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Rohit-petitioner No.1 on 08.12.2009 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. Soon after the marriage, in-laws of the complainant i.e. petitioners started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings many a times. In this background, the FIR was

registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has now been resolved between the the petitioners and respondent No.2-Kavita, vide compromise deed dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, Rohit-petitioner No.1 was to make payment of Rs.85,000/- to Kavita on account of alimony for entire life.

With regard to the compromise, statements of the parties were recorded before the trial Court on 08.02.2016, whereby they stated that the matrimonial dispute has been amicably resolved between them. As per compromise, Kavita-complainant had accepted a sum of Rs.85,000/- towards permanent settlement in the presence of her father as well as counsel.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has informed the Court that statements of parties with regard to compromise were duly recorded before the trial Court on 08.02.2016. After going through the contents of the compromise, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 221 dated 24.06.2014, under Sections 498-A, 323, 406, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District

qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

16.08.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No