

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-11467 of 2015
Date of decision:- May 03, 2016

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjinder Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Present petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.171, dated 16.10.2013, under Sections 420 and 406 IPC, Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all other consequent proceedings arising therein, on the basis of affidavit of complainant (Annexure P-2).

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that matter has been

compromised between the complainant/petitioner and respondent-accused without any pressure and with their own free will. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest. Compromise is the soul of justice, which not only enhances the social amity, harmony and peace but also reduces friction and discord.

3. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as **Kulwinder Singh & others v. State of Punjab & others; 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.171, dated 16.10.2013, under Sections 420 and 406 IPC, Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner.

May 03, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE