

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17196 of 1999

The Surya Cooperative Transport Society Limited and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

(2)

CWP No.2382 of 2000 (O&M)

The Naraina Cooperative Transport Society Limited and another

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

Decided on: 27.10.2016

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Baldev Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate,
for respondents No.8 to 19 (in CWP-2382-2000).

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present order shall dispose of two writ petitions i.e. ***CWP Nos.1796 of 1999 and 2382 of 2000***, as common questions of law and fact are involved.

The petitioners in the present cases seek directions that the private respondents should not illegally ply various motor vehicles on Karnal-Kunjpur route and from Naraingarh to Bherari Kalan and from

Naraingarh to Kalpi via Bhadauli in District Ambala, without route permits.

Vide order dated, 31.10.2002, the writ petitions were ordered to be adjourned sine die, on account of similar petitions, which were listed before the Division Bench and one such petition was CWP No.9947 of 1999. The said writ petition stand disposed of vide order dated 28.02.2005 by the Division Bench, by holding that the respondents would take action, keeping in view the public interest. The said order reads as under:-

“This matter has been pending since the year 1999. During this period of six years, several developments have taken place which have been noted in the paper book before us. We find that we are handicapped in issuing any categoric directions as administrative action has to be taken by the respondent-Union of India and the respective States of Punjab, Haryana and the Union Territory, Chandigarh. This Court will not give any direction which cannot be complied with on account of various factors pointed out by the respondents from the documents and pleadings filed by them. We accordingly dispose of this matter with the hope that the respondents shall, keeping in view the public interest, take such action as required in the matter.”

Keeping in view the same, the present writ petitions are also disposed of, in the same terms.

OCTOBER 27, 2016
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No