

(1) CRM-M-12377-2016
Date of Decision : 11.05.2016

DharmenderPetitioner
versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

(2) CRM-M-13107-2016
Date of Decision : 11.05.2016

RavinderPetitioner
versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

(3) CRM-M-13115-2016
Date of Decision : 11.05.2016

Om ParkashPetitioner
versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner (CRM-M-12377-2016 & CRM-M-
13107-2016)

Mr. Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate with
Ms. Amartish Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner. (CRM-M-13115-2016)

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

This order will dispose of the aforesaid three applications of regular bail in a case registered on the allegations that they being followers of Baba Rampal had actually obstructed the police officials and resisted their entry into the Ashram to comply with the orders of

this Court which resulted in injuries to the police persons and few deaths.

Petitioner – Dharmender has been in custody with effect from 20.11.2014. He allegedly suffered a disclosure statement on the basis of which recovery of one air gun has been effected besides one stick and a commando dress.

Petitioner – Ravinder had also allegedly made a disclosure statement and got recovered one rifle of .315 bore after two months of the occurrence.

Petitioner – Om Prakash was allegedly present on the roof of Satlok Ashram wearing a black commando uniform and had allegedly fired at the police party from the roof. He was arrested on 24.11.2014 and got recovered lathi and commando uniform.

The challan has already been presented regarding the occurrence against about 940 persons who allegedly indulged in the similar obstruction.

Taking into consideration the fact that recoveries have already been effected and the trial is likely to take a long time, the petitioners can be released on bail as co-accused of the petitioners, similarly circumstanced, have got the same relief.

All the three petitions are allowed. It is ordered that the petitioners will be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to a condition that petitioners before their release would be required to furnish an affidavit

to the trial Court that they will neither commit the similar offence during the course of trial nor they would make any attempt to tamper with the evidence by threatening any witness.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

11.05.2016

Neha