

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-1132 of 2015  
Date of decision: March 29 2016.

Gurgagan Singh @ Gagandeep

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sumeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J.** (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.175 dated 31.5.2014, registered under Sections 148, 285, 323, 341, 452, 506 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Dabwali, District Sirsa, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dabwali received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the parties and has reported that the parties have entered into compromise Ex.C1, which appears to be genuine with their own free will and for benefit of both the parties. Statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably settled the dispute, FIR No.175 dated 31.5.2014, registered under Sections 148, 285, 323, 341, 452, 506 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Dabwali, District Sirsa, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

March 29, 2016.  
*kadyan*

**[ Kuldip Singh ]**  
**Judge**