

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -12298 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.09.2016

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Daljit Singh.

Mr. Harbans Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.37 dated 17.03.2016, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur.

On 08.04.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.37 dated 17.3.2016 under Section 420 IPC, PS Dasuya, Distt.Hoshiarpur.

As per allegations petitioner has taken a sum of Rs.2 lacs from the complainant on the pretext of recruiting his son in BSF.

It is contended that since the complainant was not given his commission at the time of taking of a bank loan by the petitioner, a false case has been foisted.

Notice of motion for 31.5.2016.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer/Trial Court. Petitioner shall appear before the I.O., as and when called upon for investigation and shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 08.04.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 08.04.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

29.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No