

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12285 of 2016
Date of decision: 4th August, 2016

Gurpreet Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J

In spite of service none has put in appearance on behalf of
respondent No.2.

Present petition has been preferred under Section 482
Cr.P.C. by the petitioners Gurpreet Singh, Rajwinder Singh both sons
and their father Swaran Singh petitioner No.3 seeking quashment of the
FIR No.83 dated 13.06.2014 under Sections 406/420 IPC and Section 24
of the Immigration Act at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala on the basis
of compromise with complainant Jaswant Singh respondent No.2.
However, in spite of due service none has put in appearance on behalf of
respondent complainant and the learned State counsel has shown his
ignorance about the compromise.

Keeping in view that none has come forth to vouch for the compromise and the fact that the petitioners admittedly are proclaimed offenders and therefore, even under the law they are not entitled to any relief as long as they remain proclaimed offenders and do not submit before the Court of law. In the light of the same nothing survives in the petition and the same stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 4, 2016
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No