

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-12225 of 2016

Date of Decision: April 08, 2016

Rinki and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Ms. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 5.4.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The personal affidavits of the petitioners and true typed copy of Matriculation Examination Certificate of petitioner No.2 and Senior Secondary School of petitioner No.1 showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure P-3.

Respondents No.4 and 5 have put in appearance of their own through their counsel Mr. Yashdeep Nain and sought time to talk with petitioner No.1. They were also allowed 15 minutes to talk with petitioner No.1. Thereafter, petitioner No.1 was questioned and she stated that she wants to go with petitioner No.2 and needs protection.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 5.4.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Superintendent of Police, Hisar, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Hisar, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 5.4.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

April 08, 2016

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

kadyan