

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-12148 of 2016

Date of Decision: 06.05.2016

Harpreet Kaur & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

As per office report, respondents no.5 to 7 have been served through their family members whereas respondent no.8 is not traceable at the given address.

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in Court which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have suffered statements before the police that they do not need

any protection, as they are living a happy married life and there is no danger to them from anybody.

In view of the statements so made by the petitioners, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition.

Disposed of.

May 06, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE