

**134-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-12033 of 2016

Date of Decision: April 08, 2016

M/s Priknit Retails Limited and others Petitioners

vs.

M/s Preview Fashion Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioners.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 25.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, vide which the opportunity to cross-examine the complainant witnesses was treated as nil.

It comes out from the impugned order that it was observed by the Magistrate that the case pertains to the year 2013 and falls under the action plan cases. Several opportunities were given to the accused to cross-examine the complainant witnesses.

It also comes out that a litigation is pending before this Court. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case and without issuing notice to the respondent, the impugned order is set aside to the extent that the petitioner is granted one effective opportunity to cross-examine the complainant witnesses.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 08, 2016
sarita