

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-12008 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.05.2016.**

Shivdani Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.264 dated 05.12.2015, under Sections 307/324/323/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered at the instance of complainant/injured, Shammi Khan in relation to an occurrence that took place on 04.10.2015. Name of the present petitioner does not find a mention in the FIR. FIR came to be registered on 05.12.2015.

As per prosecution version, the petitioner has been implicated on the basis of a supplementary statement recorded of the complainant on 06.12.2015 and which has led his arrest on 11.12.2015.

It has gone uncontroverted that even as per supplementary statement of the injured/complainant recorded on 06.12.2015, the role attributed to the petitioner is of having landed a *danda* blow on the right arm of the complainant.

Investigation in the case is complete, challan stands presented and even charges have been framed. Court has been apprised that the trial is at the initial stage.

Without making any observations on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Patiala.

Disposed of.

11.05.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**