

255 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.11983 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.12.2016**

Kans Raj and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Baljit Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 29.09.2016 the following order was passed :-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.110, dated 16.06.2013 registered under Sections 447/427/420/380/201/120-B IPC at P.S. Cantonment Amritsar including report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

To come up on 08.12.2016.

Meanwhile, the parties are directed to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate, on 15.10.2016 or on any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any

pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case and proclamation proceedings is pending against either of the parties before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar dated 25.10.2016 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place between them and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

Learned State counsel has accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

13.12.2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No