

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-11887 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.05.2016

Tarun Malhotra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Mandeep Kumar, Advocate
and Mr. Rohit Malik, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

30.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE