

CRM-M-11834-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11834-2016

Date of Decision: 11.08.2016

Sampat Bhadu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Rana, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner, Sampat Bhadu has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.109 dated 08.03.2016, registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, under Sections 420, 406 and 506 IPC and Section 389 IPC (added subsequently).

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. B.S.Virk, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

CRM-M-11834-2016

State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that it is the complainant's version herself that matter in which her son was involved, had already been settled by the police/investigating agency and as such, allegation of paying such a heavy amount to the present petitioner would be improbable. It has been further contended that the petitioner is being falsely implicated in the present case.

From the record, I find that the petitioner has already joined the investigation. He is not required for custodial interrogation. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody as he is already on interim bail, granted by this Court vide order dated 06.04.2016.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The interim order dated 06.04.2016 passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

11.08.2016

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No