

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CRM No.M-11819 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 06.04.2016***

Amit Madan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.10 dated 22.02.2016, under Sections 61/1/14 of the Excise Act and Sections 456/468/473 IPC, registered at Police Station Daresi, District Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Case file would show that based on secret information, a Tata Safari vehicle was intercepted by the police officials and from which heavy recovery of liquor was effected.

As per case of prosecution, original RC of the vehicle was recovered from the vehicle which reflects registration No.PB-10-EA-2240 whereas false number plate showing registration No.PB-10-K-4525 had been affixed.

During the course of arguments, a specific query was put to the counsel as to whether the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle in question. Counsel states that he has no information in such regard.

Perusal of the order dated 31.03.2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana declining the concession of

anticipatory bail to the petitioner would reveal that he had initially been granted interim bail but had misused the concession inasmuch as had not joined investigation.

Under such circumstances, prayer of the petitioner seeking concession of anticipatory bail is declined.

Petition dismissed.

**06.04.2016**

*shubham*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**