

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11816-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 09, 2016.

Jagjeet Singh @ Jaggi

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Vinay Kumar Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Rimpaljeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri J.S. Gill, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. In FIR No.42 dated 6.3.2016, under Sections 307, 452, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC and 25/27 of the Arms Act, PS City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, the petitioner is being prosecuted. Now there is a request for allowing the compounding/compromise in terms of compromise Annexure P-2. This Court had directed recording of statements of the parties by order dated 6.4.2016. There is a report from Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran that the statements have been recorded and compromise has been found to be genuine. Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that he has deposited a sum of Rs.50,000/- in the account of the complainant. The complainant is entitled to appropriate the said amount.

Hence, petition is allowed. FIR in question, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

November 09, 2016.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No