

CWP No.17510 of 1998

Mulakh Raj Vs. State of Haryana and ors.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Jasbir Singh Thol, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 5 with
Mr.Ashok Kumar, Kanungo,
D.C.Office, Rohtak.

None for respondents No.6, 8 & 9.

* * * *

Despite being informed, learned counsel for the petitioner has not come present. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has not been received back served or otherwise. Same position existed on the last date of hearing also. As more than one month has expired when the notice was issued to the petitioner, he would be deemed to have been served but he has not come present.

In these circumstance, the writ petition is consigned to the record room with liberty to the petitioner to get it restored, if so desired.

(R.K.Nehru)
President

(Ram Chand Gupta)
Member

21.04.2016
P.Seth