

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-11787 of 2016

Date of Decision: April 5, 2016

Parveen Malik

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Eklavya Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise. Copy of the compromise has been placed on record.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that challan has not yet been presented and the matter is still under investigation.

A perusal of the FIR indicates that FIR was registered against the petitioner, Amit Kumar and Rajneesh.

On asking of the Court, counsel for the petitioner informs that challan has not yet been presented.

Notice to Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.C.S. Bakshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice. Copy given.

Since the investigation is still in progress and the other accused are also named in the FIR, this petition is disposed of at this stage as not maintainable. However, it is ordered that in case the complainant or any other witness voluntarily approaches the investigating officer and offers a supplementary statement, the same would be taken into consideration in view of the statutory provisions of Section 163 (2) Cr.P.C. On the basis of said supplementary statement, it will be open to the investigating agency to exonerate the petitioner or file cancellation report and proceed in accordance with law.

April 5, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE