

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 11.05.2016

CWP No.17470 of 1998

Gurnam Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Singh Sethi, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

As per office note, learned counsel for the parties have been informed of the pendency of the proceedings and that the matter is likely to be taken up for hearing on the date fixed. Mr. Amit Sethi appears for the Corporation, but no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

This is a pension matter pending since 18 years. Non appearance of the petitioner leads to an inference that the money claimed must have been paid out. On that assumption, the present file is closed. In case the petitioner has any remaining grievance, he is at liberty to make an application for revival of this petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

Let a copy of this order be sent by the office to the petitioner at the address mentioned in the memo of parties and in addition a copy to Mr. A.K.Sharma Roparwale, Advocate.

11.05.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE