

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-11748 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 05.04.2016

Parvesh Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuing directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take action against respondent No.4 and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as inspite of making representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur on 17.10.2015, no action has been taken thereupon so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that not only the petitioner was being harassed by respondent No.4 but threat was also given because of the past relations between them. The petitioner was sexually harassed by respondent No.4 on giving an assurance of marriage. The petitioner made representation to SSP, Gurdaspur on 17.10.2015 but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions

are issued to respondent No.2 to consider the representation (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 17.10.2015 (Annexure P-1) and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

Disposed of accordingly.

April 05, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE