

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.10.22 11:59
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.4149 of 1995

Date of Decision: 21.10.2016

Hazari Mal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, A.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner was neither present earlier on four occasions nor today. Notice was issued to the petitioner which has also been received back unserved with the report that he has retired from service.

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to the respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Naib Tehsildar as other Kanungos, who are junior to him, have already been promoted.

The case is of the year 1995 and has been adjourned on various dates but neither the counsel is appearing nor service has been effected upon the petitioner.

Keeping in view the nature of prayer, it appears that nothing survives in the petition.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

In case, anything survives in the petition, the petitioner is at liberty to move application for revival of the order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

21.10.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No