

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-10752 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 27, 2016

Ram Lottan

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Kler, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.96, dated 12.07.2013, under Sections 420, 417 IPC and Section 65, 66, 66-A, 67 of IT Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising there from.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the photographs uploaded on the face book I.D. of respondent No.2 were misused by creating a new Facebook account in the name of Anchal Sharma. Now, she has come to know that it was not done by the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has stated at bar that respondent No.2 has no objection, if the FIR in question qua the present petitioner is quashed.

Affidavit of respondent No.2-Nisha Kohli is already on file to this effect.

In view of the aforesaid, the FIR in question along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the present petitioner stands quashed.

Accordingly, the present petition stands allowed.

February 27, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE