

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13499-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 09, 2016

Nafe Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Shanti Devi

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Satywan Singh Nain, Advocate for
Mr.R.N.Lohan, Advocate
for the respondent.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners states at bar that he has the instructions to plead that the complaint before learned trial Court has been dismissed, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned proxy counsel for the respondent has no objection to the above submission of learned proxy counsel for the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

February 09, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE