

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-11686 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:6.9.2016

Darbara Singh and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

....

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh**

.....

Present: Mr. Karanvir Nanda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab  
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for complainant-respondents No.2  
and 3.

.....

**Inderjit Singh, J.**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.136 dated 24.12.2015 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 336, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.3).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Harbans Kaur on the allegations that the accused-petitioners in connivance with each other had inflicted injuries to her as well as to respondent No.3. It has been stated that the matter pertains to the version and cross-version.

The persons belonging to the petitioners' side have also got another FIR registered against the persons belonging to the respondents' side vide FIR No.135 dated 24.12.2015 for the offences under Section 452, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Jaito (Annexure-P.2). Now with the intervention of common relations and respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jaito has sent his report dated 18.7.2016 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondents No.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for complainant-respondents No.2 and 3 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a

loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.136 dated 24.12.2015 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 336, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

September 6, 2016.

**(Inderjit Singh)**  
**Judge**

\*hsp\*

|              |                            |     |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|
| <b>NOTE:</b> | Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
|              | Whether reportable:        | No  |