

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M 1166 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.05.2016**

Mukesh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: None for the petitioners
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioners had claimed that petitioner No.1 married to petitioner No.2 against the wishes of the parents and that now private respondents Nos.4 and 5 are not allowing them to live in the village.

With the reply of the State, statement of Mukesh Kumar petitioner No.1, in which he has stated that now he has no danger from the private respondents and if he apprehend any danger in future he will inform the police.

Today, none is present on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid statements of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

20.05.2016
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**