

**129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-11636 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 05, 2016**

Raj Kumar **Petitioner**

vs.

State of Punjab and another **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S. Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioner has impugned the order dated 16.07.2015 (Annexure P-9) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagraon, vide which the present petitioner, namely, Raj Kumar was summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

The said order was unsuccessfully challenged before the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, who dismissed the revision vide order dated 14.01.2016 (Annexure P-10).

Heard.

It comes out that all the contentions including the refusal to grant sanction under Section 197 Cr.P.C. in the previous case as well as civil dispute was considered by the revisional court.

The learned Magistrate has passed the order after recording the statement of Manmohan Lal, who happens to be real brother of the present petitioner Raj Kumar, Patwari. Therefore, at this stage, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned orders.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2016
sarita