

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-11614 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2016

Jagjeet Singh @ Jeeti

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.22 dated 17.2.2016 under sections 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 I.P.C (sections 307, 326 I.P.C were added on 21.2.2016), registered at Police Station, Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

F.I.R came to be registered on the statement of Prabhjot Singh in relation to an occurrence that took place on 14.2.2016 at about 8.30 P.M. Complainant had alleged that he was assaulted by a group of 15/16 persons armed with *kirpans*, *datars*, *gandasis*, *hockey*s and *baseball bats*. As per initial statement recorded the name of the present petitioner very much figured, although, no specific attribution of injury was there.

It so transpires that on 18.2.2016 the complainant suffered a supplementary statement in which he stated that the present petitioner was armed with a *datar* and had inflicted two blows on his left arm.

Such version as per supplementary statement finds corroboration from the M.L.R of the complainant/injured Prabhjot Singh i.e.

injuries no.11 and 12 on his left arm. Injury no.11 has been opined to be simple in nature, whereas injury no.12 has been opined to be grievous.

In all the complainant has suffered 21 injuries and out of which 20 are stated to be caused with sharp edged weapons. The injuries collectively have attracted offence under section 307 I.P.C.

The manner in which the group of 15/16 persons including the petitioner have attacked the complainant and that too in such a brutal manner causing as many as 21 injuries and which has attracted offence under sections 326/307 I.P.C prevents this Court from showing any indulgence.

This Court is not inclined to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

29.04.2016
lucky