

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-11511 of 2016
Date of Decision: 03.06.2016

Sukhwinder Kaur & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. B.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent no.4 to 6.

Pursuant to order dated 4.4.2016, the petitioner no.2 was required to bring an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- in favour of petitioner no.1. However, the petitioner no.2 has failed to comply with the aforesaid order. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Madan Lal, D.S.P., Yamuna Nagar, on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, as well as the statements of the petitioners and respondents no.4 and 5, to the effect that the private respondents have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners. Therefore, the petitioners do not require any police protection.

In view of the statements so recorded, the present petition is dismissed.

June 03, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE