

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11485 of 2016 (O&M).
Decided on:-04.04.2016.

Raman Kanta.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in order to seek protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents, she has made a representation dated 11.3.2016 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Patiala, but no action thereon has been taken so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-3) and to deal with the same in accordance with law.

April 04, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE