

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2182 of 1996

Date of Decision: 27.02.2016

Charanjit Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

This matter relates to a seniority dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents in the cadre of Executive Engineer, PWD (B&R), Punjab.

The petitioner wants his ad hoc service added to his service for seniority. It is not possible to grant any relief to the petitioner in view of the law settled in **State of Haryana v. Haryana Veterinary & A.H.T.S. Association and another**, 2000 Supp (3) SCR 322: (2000) 8 SCC 4 setting aside the judgment and directions of the Full Bench of this Court in **Rakesh Kumar Singla v. State of Haryana**, 1995 (11) PLR 411 wherein the benefit of ad hoc service rendered by the respondents for the purposes of higher pay scale was negated. The Supreme Court examined the question of

grant of higher pay scale after rendering eight years of regular service.

In any case, it is too late to tinker with seniority settled long ago with rights of third party settling in and it appears practically impossible to unscramble a scrambled egg.

The petition stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

27.02.2016
manju