

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

Criminal Misc. No.M-11414 of 2016

Date of decision: April 07, 2016

Akram

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent-State, on instructions from
Inspector Krishna, submits that only the challan has been presented
against the petitioner and no prosecution witness has been examined
so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be
permitted to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

April 07, 2016

sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**