

CRM-M-11369-2016

Date of Decision : 25.04.2016

Manish Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner is alleged to have, on 21.01.2016, pushed complainant Shanti as a result of which she fell on the water pipe and received injuries.

Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner had been granted the concession of bail in this case at initial stage on 29.01.2016 but subsequently, on account of offence under Section 302 IPC having been added, the petitioner apprehends arrest. He has referred to the compromise, Annexure P-2, which was allegedly arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant had died more than one month after she received injuries. It has been argued that the death of Shanti is not the result of injuries attributed to the petitioner. He has argued that co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case and I am of the opinion that in view of specific role attributed to the petitioner having given the injuries on account of which she fell down and ultimately died, no extraordinary exceptional circumstances exist for grant of pre-arrest bail. The arguments raised can be considered for grant of regular bail depending upon the fact and circumstances mentioned in the challan to be presented against the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**25.04.2016***Neha*