

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-No.11360 of 2016

Date of decision:-30.05.2016

Swati and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr.Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed praying for the directions for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents.

Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioners.

As per office report, notices could not be issued to private respondents no. 4 to 6 due to non-filing of process fee.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has produced a copy of the statements of both the petitioners to the effect that there is no apprehension to their life and liberty from the other side and they

do not require any police protection. The said statements of the petitioners are taken on record.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and same is accordingly disposed of.

However, in case the petitioners still apprehend any threat to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach concerned SSP, who shall take necessary steps for redressal of their grievance in accordance with law.

May 30, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE