

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-11356 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 01.04.2016

Manpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 19.2.2016 passed by JMIC, Dasuya, whereby, an application moved by the petitioner for attachment of salary of Malkiat Singh, ASI, Investigating Officer has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a specific prayer was made for attachment of the salary but the same has been declined. It is also the argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that an application was moved by the petitioner for recalling of the witnesses but the same was also dismissed.

The trial of the case is at the fag end as the statement of the accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C. has already been recorded and how the applications for attachment of salary and recalling of the witnesses are

necessary has not been explained neither in the applications nor by learned counsel for the petitioner while arguing this petition.

Accordingly, no interference is required in the impugned order and keeping in view the stage of trial as no relevancy of recalling of witness has been made out and as such the petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

April 01, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE