

243.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1029-2015

Date of decision:28.07.2016

Molu Ram

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Ravinder Singh Budhwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for directing the respondents to conduct investigation in proper and fair manner in case FIR No.107 dated 20.04.2013, under Sections 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 166, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala. Prayer has also been made to arrest the accused mentioned in abovesaid FIR i.e. respondents No.5 to 7.

On 05.04.2016, this Court passed the following order:-

“It is stated on behalf of the State that the thumb impressions of the petitioner have been sent to Forensic Science Laboratory (Finger Print Bureau), Madhuban and report is awaited, therefore, investigation could not be completed. A copy of the letter has been placed on the file. It is stated that orally the police officer was informed that it would take three months to prepare the report.

This case was registered in April, 2013. Now, three years have elapsed. The Incharge, Finger Print Bureau, FSL, Madhuban is directed to submit the said report within one month failing which the Director, FSL, Madhuban shall appear before this Court to explain the reasons for delay in sending the report.”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, learned State counsel has produced a copy of report issued by the Incharge, Finger Print Bureau, Haryana, Madhuban, which is taken on record. As per report, thumb-impression of the son (Satpal) of the petitioner matches with the thumb-impression made at the time of withdrawal of pension. In this manner, the very purpose of filing the present FIR is nothing but a glaring attempt to abuse the process of law.

In view of the report so submitted, it is ample clear that it is the son of the petitioner who had drawn pension and he is termed as accused.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

28.07.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.