

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-10288 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 03, 2016.

Dinesh Kumar Shastri

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 14.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 3.1.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurdaspur vide which an application filed by the petitioner under Section 311 Cr.P.C. was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It comes out that an application filed by the complainant to examine Dr. Dharminder Singh, named by PW11 Dr. Jaswinderpal Kaur Shergill. The trial court has observed that many opportunities were granted to the prosecution and at least on seven occasions, last opportunity was granted. Ultimately, the evidence was closed by order on 27.11.2014. The perusal of the file shows that it is injury

case and is now pending for the last nine years. There has to be some end to the litigation. Sufficient opportunities were granted to the prosecution and the petitioner cannot now be allowed back-door entry by filing application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. The case is now fixed for defence evidence. Let the trial be concluded expeditiously.

The petition is dismissed.

March 03, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge