

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11280 of 2016

Date of decision: August 17, 2016

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Parmod K. Saxena, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 01.04.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.24 dated 13.06.2015, under Sections 9, 10, 11 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 and Sections 420, 467, 471, 120-B, 201 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station M.D.C. Panchkula, District Panchkula.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Nawab Singh states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required. Vide order dated 23.07.2016, petitioner was further directed to rejoin the investigation. There is a compliance of the said order reported by both the parties.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 01.04.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 17, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No