

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-11171 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 08.04.2016

Ram Karan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. SS Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 676 dated 2.11.2015 registered under Sections 120-B, 506 IPC, Section 4 and 18 of POCSO Act, 2012, Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and later on added Section 376 (2) IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act and Section 67-B of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for respondent-State on instructions from SI Sunita submits that earlier the petition was dismissed as withdrawn on 10.2.2016 and there is no change in circumstances as even a single witness has not been examined so far.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

April 08, 2016

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**