

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11155 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.09.2016

Sarabjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.140, dated 11.10.2015, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana Rural.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 01.04.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions states that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, the recovery of amount is yet to be effected.

Heard.

The notice of motion order was issued on the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to refund the alleged amount of ₹2 lacs. However, despite repeated opportunities, the petitioner has failed to repay the amount in question. Therefore, keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

14.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No