

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M- 11089 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 31, 2016**

Seema and another **.... Petitioners**

vs.

State of Punjab and others **.... Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with
Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate
for Mr. Rahul Bhargava.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 28.03.2016. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4. The true typed copy of Matriculation Examination Certificate of petitioner No.1 and true typed copy of Middle Class Examination Certificate of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively. The marriage certificate has been placed on file as Annexure P-3.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 28.03.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 28.03.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

March 31, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE