

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11037 of 2016
Date of Decision :14.06.2016

Balbir Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amol Rattan Singh.

Present: Mr.Mohit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Rupam Aggarwal, DAG Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner is above 71 years of age and is a handicapped person who was not named in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the State submits that though the petitioner is not named in the FIR, he was subsequently investigated and found to be involved.

However, upon query as to whether the petitioner is handicapped or above 71 years old as contended, upon instructions from the ASI present in Court to assist him, he states that basic information also is not available with him.

Hence, since the State is not obviously interested in looking at basics to assist the Court, taking the disability certificate, Annexure P-2, as accepted presently, (where the petitioner is shown to be 85% handicapped), in the aforesaid circumstances, he shall be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate, Patiala, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds.

The SSP, Patiala, is directed to ensure that officials who are sent to

the Court to assist the learned State counsel, are at least aware of the facts of their cases.

A copy of this order be sent to the SSP, Patiala.

14.06.2016
anil

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge