

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-10967 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2016**

Kewal Krishan and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No. 65 dated 26.12.2011 under Section 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 19.08.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No.2 got married with Kewal Krishan on 05.02.2007. It was the second marriage of the complainant. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. No child was born out of the said wedlock. The relationship between them became strain and thereafter, the FIR was registered against the petitioners on

account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the husband of respondent No.2 and his family members i.e the petitioners.

However, now the matter has been duly compromised vide compromise deed dated 19.08.2015 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of the order dated 08.07.2016, report of Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, has been received in this regard. As per the report, statement of the complainant/respondent No.2 Meenu Bala and petitioners Des Raj and Kewal Krishan have been recorded. Complainant has stated that the matter has been compromised between the parties. A decree of divorce by way of mutual consent dated 19.05.2016 has already been granted and she does not want to proceed with the case further. The compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure, threat or undue influence. The petitioners have also deposed to the same effect. One of the petitioner Billu Ram has died during the trial.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **"Dr.Arvind Barsaul etc v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another" 2008 (2) RCR (Criminal) 910**, no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the FIR No. 65 dated 26.12.2011 under Section 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), is quashed along with all

consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners only.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

shalini

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | YES/NO |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | YES/NO |