

CRM-M-10962-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.05.2016

REKHA RANI

..... Petitioner

Versus

HARBANS SINGH

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGHPresent: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 04.12.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ferozepur vide which the revision filed by the petitioner against the order dated 23.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Ferozepur, summoning the petitioner in a complaint case titled as Harbans Singh Vs. Joginder Singh and others filed under Section 419, 420, 465, 467, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') was dismissed.

Heard.

It comes out that the summoning order has already been tested in a revision before the Sessions Court. The merits of the case are not to be examined at this stage.

Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the order passed by the revisional Court, in exercise of the power under Section 482 Cr. P.C.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 24, 2016