

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10923-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 14, 2016.

Neeraj Kumar and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Deepinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.35 dated 12.2.2016, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 506, 326 IPC at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala in which the petitioners are arrayed as accused. This Court made an interim order on 31.3.2016 pursuant to which, learned Counsel for the State fairly states that they have joined the investigation. I have perused the FIR qua the present petitioners and I find that their role is not such that they should be refused the concession of anticipatory bail. In this order of the matter, order dated 31.3.2016 is made. The petitioners shall continue to remain on bail till the conclusion of trial.

Disposed of.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

July 14, 2016.