

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10911 of 2016

Date of decision : 27.09.2016

Rozy Malhotra and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Suveer Sheokhand, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

Mr. R.S. Baliyan, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 08.04.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation. He further states that there has been development later on and the girl had appeared and her statement was recorded and the father has also made a statement. He states that medical was got done and cancellation report has been prepared.

Since the petitioners have joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.04.2016 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 27, 2016

reena

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No