

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-10886 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 01, 2016**

Nagender Singh Narwal

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf
of the State.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the
petitioner has been allowed to visit abroad. However, certain
conditions have been imposed upon him, which are inconvenient to
the petitioner.

Under condition No.2, he has been ordered to return to
India on 01.05.2016 and on the next working day, he shall furnish an
affidavit showing the factum of his return.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he should
be granted liberty to return earlier.

It being so, condition No.2 is amended to the effect that
he can return on or before 01.05.2016.

Further, under condition No.5, the petitioner has been directed to furnish papers of his immovable property worth ₹50,00,000/- along with affidavit and under condition No.6, he has been directed to furnish one surety, which shall not be of his family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is ready to furnish bank guarantee of ₹50,00,000/-.

It being so, condition Nos.5 and 6 of the impugned order are set aside and in that place, the petitioner is allowed to leave the country on furnishing of bank guarantee of ₹50,00,000/-.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed with the abovenoted extent.

April 01, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE